arXiv:1210.6254v1 [math.AG] 23 Oct 2012

SINGULARITIES OF VARIETIES ADMITTING AN ENDOMORPHISM

AMAËL BROUSTET AND ANDREAS HÖRING

Abstract. Let X be a normal variety such that K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, and let $f\colon X \to X$ be a finite surjective morphism of degree at least two. We establish a close relation between the irreducible components of the locus of singularities that are not log-canonical and the dynamics of the endomorphism f. As a consequence we prove that if X is projective and f polarised, then X has at most log-canonical singularities.

1. Introduction

1.A. **Main result.** Let X be a normal variety and let $f\colon X \to X$ be an endomorphism, i.e. a finite surjective morphism of degree $\deg(f) > 1$. If X is projective, an abundant literature [Bea01, Fuj02, Ame03, FN07, Nak08, AKP08, NZ10, Zha10] shows that the existence of an endomorphism imposes strong restrictions on the global geometry of X. In this paper we address the question if the existence of an endomorphism also imposes restrictions on the local geometry, i.e. restrictions on the nature of the singularities. In a recent paper Boucksom, de Fernex and Favre introduce the volume $\mathrm{Vol}(X,x)$ of an isolated singularity. Using this invariant they give a precise answer to our question for isolated singularities.

1.1. Theorem. [BdFF12, Thm.B] *Let X be a normal variety with isolated singularities, and let $f\colon (X,x) \to (X,x)$ be an endomorphism of degree $\deg(f) > 1$. Then we have $\mathrm{Vol}(X,x) = 0$.*

If K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier then X has log-canonical singularities, and it furthermore has klt singularities if f is not étale in codimension one.

Fulger [Ful11] introduces a different invariant $\mathrm{Vol}_F(X,x)$ associated to an isolated singularity and proves the analogue of Theorem 1.1 for $\mathrm{Vol}_F(X,x)$. Let us note that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X,x) \geq \mathrm{Vol}_F(X,x)$$

and equality holds if K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

In this paper we will consider varieties such that K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, but the singularities are not isolated. In this case X is not necessarily log-canonical: if Y is any normal variety such that K_Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and E an elliptic curve, then $X := Y \times E$

Date: October 24, 2012.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14B05, 14E30, 14J40.
Key words and phrases. endomorphism, non-lc locus, log-canonical model.

admits the endomorphism $f := \mathrm{id}_Y \times g$ with g the multiplication by $m \in \mathbb{N}$. However we can establish a close relation between the irreducible components of the non-lc locus and the dynamics of the endomorphism:

1.2. Theorem. *Let X be a normal variety such that K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, and let $f\colon X \rightarrow X$ be an endomorphism of degree $\deg(f) > 1$.*

Let Z be an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X)$. Then (up to replacing f by some iterate) Z is totally invariant. In this case Z is not contained in the ramification divisor R, and the induced endomorphism $f|_Z\colon Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfies

$$\deg(f|_Z) = \deg(f).$$

Since we suppose $\deg(f) > 1$ the last part of this statement shows that Z cannot be a point, so we recover the $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier case of Theorem 1.1. If X is projective we can consider the particularly interesting class of polarised endomorphisms, i.e. those endomorphisms such that there exists an ample divisor H satisfying $f^*H \simeq mH$. In this case the statement becomes much stronger:

1.3. Corollary. *Let X be a normal projective variety such that K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, and let $f\colon X \rightarrow X$ be a polarised endomorphism of degree $\deg(f) > 1$.*

Then X has at most log-canonical singularities. Moreover X is klt near the ramification divisor R.

1.B. **Technique and generalisations.**

The proof of our main result comes in two steps. In the first step we use a classical computation describing the behaviour of log-discrepancies under finite morphisms [KM98, Prop.5.20] to prove that all the irreducible components of the non-lc locus are totally invariant. In the second step we use an idea introduced by Nakayama in his inspiring preprint [Nak08] on endomorphisms of normal surfaces: if $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ is the log-canonical model (cf. Definition 2.2), the endomorphism f lifts to a (rational) endomorphism g of Y. We can then study the geometry of the ramification divisors along certain μ-exceptional divisors to deduce our result.

Our proof actually works more generally for log pairs (X, Δ) such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and a logarithmic ramification formula holds. In this paper we focus on the geometrically most interesting case where the boundary Δ is a totally invariant Weil divisor.

1.4. Theorem. *Let X be a normal variety, and let $f\colon X \rightarrow X$ be an endomorphism of degree $\deg(f) > 1$. Let Δ be a reduced effective totally invariant Weil divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.*

Let Z be an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$. Then (up to replacing f by some iterate) Z is totally invariant. In this case we have $Z \not\subset R_\Delta$ where R_Δ is the logarithmic ramification divisor, and the induced endomorphism $f|_Z\colon Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfies

$$\deg(f|_Z) = \deg(f).$$

Theorem 1.4 is simply the case $\Delta = \emptyset$ in the preceding statement.

Let us note that the existence of log-canonical models has been proven recently by Odaka and Xu [OX12] for pairs (X, Δ) such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. If log-canonical models exist in general[1], it seems plausible that our results can be generalised to arbitrary normal varieties.

1.5. Conjecture. *Let X be a normal variety, and let $f\colon X \to X$ be an endomorphism of degree* $\deg(f) > 1$. *Suppose that X admits a log-canonical model $\mu\colon Y \to X$. Let Z be an irreducible component of $\mu(E_\mu^{lc})$, where E_μ^{lc} is the sum of all the μ-exceptional prime divisors taken with coefficient one.*

Then (up to replacing f by some iterate) Z is totally invariant. In this case Z is not contained in the ramification divisor R, and the induced endomorphism $f|_Z\colon Z \to Z$ satisfies

$$\deg(f|_Z) = \deg(f).$$

If moreover X is projective and f is polarised, then μ is an isomorphism in codimension one.

This statement would also generalise Theorem 1.1 since we can prove that an isolated singularity has volume zero if and only if the log-canonical model (if it exists) is an isomorphism in codimension one, cf. Proposition 2.4.

Acknowledgements. We thank S. Druel and Y. Gongyo for pointing out some crucial references. The authors are partially supported by the ANR project CLASS[2]. A.B is partially supported by the ANR project MACK[3] and the Labex CEMPI[4].

2. Notation and basic results

We work over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, topological notions always refer to the Zariski topology. For general definitions we refer to Hartshorne's book [Har77]. We will use standard terminology and results of the minimal model program (MMP) as explained in [KM98] or [HK10]. A variety is an integral scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. For D a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor on a normal variety X, we denote by $\operatorname{supp}(D)$ its support.

2.A. **Singularities of pairs.**

Let X be a normal variety, and let $\mu\colon X' \to X$ be a proper birational morphism from a normal variety X'. If $\Delta \subset X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor, we denote by $\mu_*^{-1}(\Delta)$ its strict transform.

A log-pair is a tuple (X, Δ) where X is a normal variety and $\Delta = \sum_i d_i \Delta_i$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor on X with $d_i \leq 1$ for all i. We say that the pair (X, Δ) is lc (resp. klt)[5] if $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and for every proper birational morphism $\mu\colon X' \to X$ from a normal variety X' we can write

$$K_{X'} + \mu_*^{-1}(\Delta) = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_j a(E_j, X, \Delta) E_j,$$

---

[1] The existence of log-canonical models would be a consequence of the MMP, including the abundance conjecture.

[2] ANR-10-JCJC-0111

[3] ANR-10-BLAN-0104

[4] ANR-11-LABX-0007-01

[5] Note that we do not assume that the boundary divisor Δ is effective, so some authors would say that such a pair is sub-lc (resp. sub-klt). We follow the notation of [KM98].

where the divisor E_j are μ-exceptional and $a(E_j, X, \Delta) \geq -1$ (resp. $a(E_j, X, \Delta) > -1$) for all j. If the pair (X, Δ) is log-canonical, we say that a subvariety $Z \subset X$ is an lc centre if there exists a morphism $\mu\colon X' \to X$ as above and a μ-exceptional divisor E such that $E \twoheadrightarrow Z$ and $a(E, X, \Delta) = -1$.

2.1. Definition. *Let* (X, Δ) *be a log-pair such that* $K_X + \Delta$ *is* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier. The non-lc locus* $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ *is the smallest closed set* $W \subset X$ *such that* $(X \setminus W, \Delta|_{X \setminus W})$ *is lc.*

2.2. Definition. *Let* (X, Δ) *be a log-pair such that* $\Delta \geq 0$*. A log-canonical model of the pair* (X, Δ) *is a proper birational morphism*

$$\mu\colon Y \to X$$

such that if we set

$$\Delta_Y := \mu_*^{-1}(\Delta) + E_\mu^{lc},$$

where E_μ^{lc} *is the sum of all the* μ*-exceptional prime divisors taken with coefficient one, the pair* (Y, Δ_Y) *is log-canonical and* $K_Y + \Delta_Y$ *is* μ*-ample.*

2.3. Remark.

a) If a pair (X, Δ) admits a log-canonical model, it is unique up to isomorphism [OX12, Prop.2.3].

b) Suppose now that $\Delta \geq 0$ and $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Then (X, Δ) admits a log-canonical model [OX12, Thm.1.1]. Moreover the μ-exceptional locus has pure codimension one [OX12, Lemma 2.4]. If we write

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta_Y^{>1}, \tag{1}$$

then $\Delta_Y^{>1}$ is antieffective and $\operatorname{supp} \Delta_Y^{>1} = \mathrm{Exc}(\mu)$ (ibid). By the definition of Δ_Y we have $\operatorname{supp} \Delta_Y^{>1} \subset \Delta_Y$. Note also that since $K_X + \Delta$ and $K_Y + \Delta_Y$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, the divisor $\Delta_Y^{>1}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

The following proposition establishes the link between Conjecture 1.5 and Theorem 1.1.

2.4. Proposition. *Let* X *be a normal variety with singular locus a point* x*. Assume that* X *has a log-canonical model* $\mu : (Y, \Delta_Y) \to X$*.*

Then $\mathrm{Vol}(X, x) = 0$ *if and only if* μ *is an isomorphism in codimension* 1.

For the proof of this statement we will use the tools and terminology of [BdFF12]: given a canonical divisor K_X on X, there is a unique canonical divisor K_{X_π}, for each birational model $\pi\colon X_\pi \to X$, with the property that $\pi_* K_{X_\pi} = K_X$. Thus we obtain a canonical b-divisor $K_{\mathfrak{X}}$ over X. Boucksom, de Fernex and Favre define the nef envelope $\mathrm{Env}_X(-K_X)$ of the Weil divisor $-K_X$ as the largest nef Weil b-divisor Z that is both relatively nef over X and satisfies $Z_X \leq -K_X$. The log-discrepancy b-divisor $A_{\mathfrak{X}/X}$ is then defined by

$$A_{\mathfrak{X}/X} = K_{\mathfrak{X}} + 1_{\mathfrak{X}/X} + \mathrm{Env}_X(-K_X), \tag{2}$$

where the trace of $1_{\mathfrak{X}/X}$ in any model is equal to the reduced exceptional divisor over X.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Suppose that $\operatorname{Vol}(X,x)=0$. We will argue by contradiction and suppose that the divisor Δ_Y is not zero. Let $\nu\colon Z\to Y$ be a dlt-model of the log-canonical pair (Y,Δ_Y) [Fuj11, Thm.10.4], i.e. ν is a birational morphism from a normal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety Z such that if we denote by B the ν-exceptional divisors taken with coefficient one and set

$$\Delta_Z := \nu_*^{-1}(\Delta_Y)+B,$$

then the pair (Z,Δ_Z) is dlt and we have

$$K_Z+\Delta_Z=\nu^*(K_Y+\Delta_Y).$$

Set $\varphi := \mu\circ\nu$. Then the divisor $K_Z+\Delta_Z$ is φ-nef and its restriction to any irreducible component of $\nu_*^{-1}(\Delta_Y)$ is nef and big.

The trace of the equation (2) on Z is

$$\nu_*^{-1}((A_{\mathfrak{X}/X})_Y)=K_Z+\Delta_Z+(\operatorname{Env}_X(-K_X))_Z.$$

Indeed Δ_Z is the union of all the φ-exceptional divisors taken with multiplicity one, so $(1_{\mathfrak{X}/X})_Z=\Delta_Z$. Moreover all the ν-exceptional divisors have log-discrepancy 0, so $(A_{\mathfrak{X}/X})_Z$ is just equal to the strict transform of $(A_{\mathfrak{X}/X})_Y$.

By [BdFF12, Lemma 2.10] the restriction of $(\operatorname{Env}_X(-K_X))_Z$ to any φ-exceptional divisor is pseudoeffective, so the restriction of $\nu_*^{-1}(A_{\mathfrak{X}/X})_Y$ to any irreducible component of $\nu_*^{-1}(\Delta_Y)$ is big. Since Δ_Y is not zero, this implies that $\nu_*^{-1}(A_{\mathfrak{X}/X})_Y$ is not the zero divisor. Since we have

$$\operatorname{supp}\nu_*^{-1}(A_{\mathfrak{X}/X})_Y\subset\operatorname{supp}\nu_*^{-1}(\Delta_Y),$$

we see that the restriction of $\nu_*^{-1}(A_{\mathfrak{X}/X})_Y$ to any irreducible component of its support is big. By the negativity lemma (in its big version [Gra12, Prop.4.1]) this implies that $\nu_*^{-1}(A_{\mathfrak{X}/X})_Y$ is not effective. Thus the log-discrepancy b-divisor $A_{\mathfrak{X}/X}$ is not effective, a contradiction to [BdFF12, Prop.4.19].

Suppose that μ *is an isomorphism in codimension* 1. The variety Y has log-canonical singularities, so all the log-discrepancies are non-negative. Since μ is an isomorphism in codimension one we see that $A_{\mathfrak{X}/X}$ is effective, hence $\operatorname{Vol}(X,x)=0$ by [BdFF12, Prop.4.19]. □

2.B. **Logarithmic ramification formula.** Let $f\colon X_1\to X_2$ be a finite surjective morphism between normal varieties. For every Weil divisor $D\subset X_2$ we define the pull-back f^*D as the unique Weil divisor obtained by completing $f^*D|_{X_{2,\mathrm{reg}}}$. If D is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier of Cartier index m, then f^*D is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier of index m. The ramification divisor is defined by

$$R:=\sum_{D\subset X_2} f^*D-\operatorname{supp}(f^*D), \tag{3}$$

where the sum runs over all prime divisors in X_2. By generic smoothness the sum is finite, so R is an effective Weil divisor. Its image $B:=f(R)$ is the branch divisor of f. By the ramification formula we have

$$K_{X_1}=f^*K_{X_2}+R.$$

2.5. Lemma. *Let $f\colon X_1 \to X_2$ be a finite surjective morphism between normal varieties. Let Δ_2 be a reduced effective Weil divisor, and set $\Delta_1 := \operatorname{supp} f^*\Delta_2$. Then we have the logarithmic ramification formula*

$$K_{X_1} + \Delta_1 = f^*(K_{X_2} + \Delta_2) + R_\Delta, \tag{4}$$

where R_Δ is an effective divisor. Moreover Δ_1 and R_Δ do not have any common component. We call R_Δ the logarithmic ramification divisor.

Proof. Adding $\Delta_1 = f^*\Delta_2 - (f^*\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)$ to the ramification formula we obtain

$$K_{X_1} + \Delta_1 = f^*(K_{X_2} + \Delta_2) + R - (f^*\Delta_2 - \Delta_1).$$

We claim that $R_\Delta := R - (f^*\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)$ is an effective divisor such that Δ_1 and R_Δ do not have any irreducible components in common. Indeed if $W \subset \Delta_2$ is an irreducible component, we have

$$f^*W = \sum m_i W_i,$$

with W_i the irreducible components of f^*W and m_i the ramification index along W_i. In particular if W is not in the branch divisor B, then $f^*W = \sum W_i$ so

$$\operatorname*{mult}_{W_i} f^*\Delta_2 = \operatorname*{mult}_{W_i} \Delta_1 = 1,$$

and obviously no W_i is contained in R. If $W \subset B$, then by (3) we have

$$\operatorname*{mult}_{W_i} R = \operatorname*{mult}_{W_i} f^*W - 1 = \operatorname*{mult}_{W_i} f^*\Delta_2 - \operatorname*{mult}_{W_i} \Delta_1.$$

Thus we have $\operatorname{mult}_{W_i} R_\Delta = 0$. $\square$

2.6. Remark. If $K_{X_1} + \Delta_1$ and $K_{X_2} + \Delta_2$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, then R_Δ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

We will also use a weak generalisation of the logarithmic ramification formula (4) to morphisms which are only generically finite.

2.7. Lemma. *Let $g\colon V \to Y$ be a generically finite, projective, surjective morphism between normal varieties. Let Δ_Y be a reduced effective Weil divisor on Y such that $K_Y + \Delta_Y$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Let $\eta\colon V \to V_{St}$ and $h\colon V_{St} \to Y$ be the Stein factorisation of g. Set*

$$\Delta_V := \eta_*^{-1}(\operatorname{supp} h^*\Delta_Y).$$

Then we have

$$K_V + \Delta_V = g^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + R_g$$

where R_g is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor. Moreover Δ_V and R_g do not have any common component.

Proof. The morphism h is finite, so by (4) we have

$$K_{V_{St}} + \operatorname{supp} h^*\Delta_Y = h^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + R_{\Delta_{St}},$$

where $R_{\Delta_{St}}$ is an effective Weil divisor that has no common component with $\operatorname{supp} h^*\Delta_Y$. The divisor $K_{V_{St}} + \operatorname{supp} h^*\Delta_Y - R_{\Delta_{St}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, so we can write

$$K_V + \eta_*^{-1}(\operatorname{supp} h^*\Delta_Y - R_{\Delta_{St}}) = \eta^*(K_{V_{St}} + \operatorname{supp} h^*\Delta_Y - R_{\Delta_{St}}) + E = f^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + E$$

where E is an η-exceptional divisor. Set now

$$R_g := E + \eta_*^{-1}(R_{\Delta_{St}}).$$

Since every irreducible component of E is η-exceptional and $R_{\Delta_{St}}$ has no common component with $\operatorname{supp} h^*\Delta_Y$, it is clear that $\eta_*^{-1}(\operatorname{supp} h^*\Delta_Y)$ has no common component with R_g. □

2.C. **Endomorphisms and Nlc-locus.**

2.8. Definition. *Let X be a normal variety, and let $f\colon X \to X$ be an endomorphism of degree* $\deg(f) > 1$. *We say that a closed subset $Z \subset X$ is totally invariant if we have a set-theoretical equality $f^{-1}(Z) = Z$.*

2.9. Remark. Let $f\colon X_1 \to X_2$ be a finite surjective morphism between normal varieties. By [Gro66, Cor.14.4.] the morphism f is universally open. In particular if $Z \subset X_2$ is any subvariety, the induced morphism $X_1 \times_{X_2} Z \to Z$ is open. Hence every irreducible component of $X_1 \times_{X_2} Z$ dominates Z.

2.10. Lemma. *Let X be a normal variety, and let $f\colon X \to X$ be an endomorphism of degree* $\deg(f) > 1$. *Let Δ be a reduced effective totally invariant Weil divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Let $Z \subset X$ be an irreducible component of* $\operatorname{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$. *Then (up to replacing f by some power) we have*

$$f^{-1}(Z) = Z.$$

If (X, Δ) has at most log-canonical singularities, let Z be an lc centre. Then (up to replacing f by some power) we have

$$f^{-1}(Z) = Z.$$

In this case we have $Z \not\subset R_\Delta$ where R_Δ is the logarithmic ramification divisor.

Proof. By (4) and Remark 2.6 we have

$$K_X + \Delta = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + R_\Delta,$$

with R_Δ an effective Weil divisor that is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

Let us recall a computation from [KM98, Prop.5.20]: let $W \subset X$ be any subvariety, and let $\mu\colon X' \to X$ be a proper birational morphism from a normal variety X' such that

$$K_{X'} + \mu_*^{-1}(\Delta) = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + R + a(E, X, \Delta)E$$

with R a μ-exceptional divisor and E a μ-exceptional prime divisor such that $\mu(E) = W$. Let X'' be the normalisation of the fibre product $X \times_X X'$ and consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "\mu'"'] & X' \arrow[d, "\mu"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $W_1, \ldots, W_r$ be the irreducible components of $f^{-1}(W)$. By Remark 2.9 every W_i dominates W via f. Thus for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ the fibre product

$$W_i \times_W E \subset X \times_X X'$$

contains an irreducible divisorial component that surjects onto W_i. Let $E_i' \subset X''$ be a prime divisor that maps onto this divisor, then we have $f'(E_i') = E$. Denote by r_i the ramification index of f' along E_i'. By [KM98, p.160, last line] we have

$$a(E_i', X, \Delta - R_\Delta) + 1 = r\left(a(E, X, \Delta) + 1\right).$$

Since R_Δ is effective and $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, we have

$$a(E_i', X, \Delta) \leq a(E_i', X, \Delta - R_\Delta)$$

with equality holding if and only if $W_i \not\subset R_\Delta$. Thus we see that if $a(E, X, \Delta) < 1$ (resp. $a(E, X, \Delta) \leq 1$) then we have $a(E_i', X, \Delta) < 1$ (resp. $a(E_i', X, \Delta) \leq 1$). Moreover we have the following implication:

$$\text{If } a(E, X, \Delta) = 1 \text{ and } a(E_i', X, \Delta) = 1, \text{then } W_i \not\subset R_\Delta. \tag{5}$$

Proof of the first statement. We will argue by descending induction on the dimension of the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$. The start of the induction is trivial since there is no irreducible component of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ of dimension $\dim X$. Suppose now that every irreducible component of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ of dimension at least $m + 1$ is totally invariant, and let $Z_1, \ldots, Z_k$ be the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ of dimension m.

Fix a $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and let Z_j' be an irreducible component of $f^{-1}(Z_j)$. By what precedes we have $Z_j' \subset \mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$. We claim that Z_j' is actually an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$: if this was not the case there would be an irreducible component W of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ such that $Z_j' \subset W$ and $\dim W \geq m + 1$. Yet by our induction hypothesis W is totally invariant, so $Z_j' \subset W$ implies that $Z_j \subset W$. Thus Z_j is not an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$, a contradiction.

Hence every irreducible component of $f^{-1}(Z_j)$ is an irreducible component of dimension m of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$. Since there are only finitely many such components, namely $Z_1, \ldots, Z_k$, we see that f^{-1} induces a bijection on the irreducible components of dimension m of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$. Thus some power of f induces the identity.

Proof of the second statement. Since X is log-canonical there exist only finitely many lc centres. We can now repeat the proof of the first statement to see that f^{-1} acts by permutation on the lc centres, so some power induces the identity. An lc centre Z that is totally invariant and contained in R_Δ contradicts the statement (5), so it does not exist. □

2.11. Lemma. *Let X_1 and X_2 be normal varieties, and let $f\colon X_1 \to X_2$ be a finite morphism. Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be reduced effective Weil divisors on X_1 and X_2 such that $\Delta_1 = \operatorname{supp} f^*\Delta_2$ and we have*

$$K_{X_1} + \Delta_1 = f^*(K_{X_2} + \Delta_2).$$

Suppose that the pair (X_2, Δ_2) has a log-canonical model $\mu_2\colon (Y_2, \Delta_{Y,2}) \to (X_2, \Delta_2)$.

Then the pair (X_1, Δ_1) has a log-canonical model $\mu_1\colon (Y_1, \Delta_{Y,1}) \to (X_1, \Delta_1)$, moreover f lifts to a finite morphism $g\colon Y_1 \to Y_2$ such that

$$K_{Y_1} + \Delta_{Y,1} = g^*(K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y,2})$$

and $\mu_2 \circ g = f \circ \mu_1$.

Our proof follows Nakayama's argument in the surface case [Nak08, Lemma 2.7.6].

Proof. Let Y_1 the normalization of the fiber product $X_1 \times_{X_2} Y_2$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y_1 \arrow[r, "p_2"] \arrow[d, "p_1"] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "\mu_2"] \\ X_1 \arrow[r, "f"] & X_2 \end{tikzcd}$$

where the morphisms p_i are induced by the projections from the fibre product. Recall that by Definition 2.2 one has

$$\Delta_{Y,2} = (\mu_2)_*^{-1}(\Delta_2) + E^{lc}_{\mu_2},$$

where $E^{lc}_{\mu_2}$ is the sum of all the μ_2-exceptional prime divisors taken with coefficient one. Since f and p_2 are finite we see that

$$\operatorname{supp}(p_2^* E^{lc}_{\mu_2})$$

is the sum of all the p_1-exceptional prime divisors taken with coefficient one. We set

$$\Delta_{Y,1} := (p_1^{-1})_* \Delta_1 + \operatorname{supp}(p_2^* E^{lc}_{\mu_2})$$

and claim that the ramification formula

$$K_{Y_1} + \Delta_{Y,1} = p_2^*(K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y,2})$$

holds. Assuming this for the time being, let us see how to conclude: by [KM98, Prop.5.20] the pair $(Y_1, \Delta_{Y,1})$ is log-canonical. Since the morphism p_1 is obtained by base-changing μ_2 and normalising, the pull-back of the μ_2-ample divisor $K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y,2}$ is p_1-ample. By uniqueness of the log-canonical model (cf. Remark 2.3) we see that $(Y_1, \Delta_{Y,1})$ is the log-canonical model of (X_1, Δ_1). The finite morphism $g := p_2$ gives the lifting of f.

Proof of the claim. We have $\operatorname{supp} f^* \Delta_2 = \Delta_1$, hence by our definition of $\Delta_{Y,1}$

$$\operatorname{supp} p_2^* \Delta_{Y,2} = \Delta_{Y,1}.$$

Thus by the logarithmic ramification formula (4) we have

$$K_{Y_1} + \Delta_{Y,1} = p_2^*(K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y,2}) + R_\Delta$$

with R_Δ an effective divisor that has no common component with $\Delta_{Y,1}$. Since by hypothesis $K_{X_1} + \Delta_1 = f^*(K_{X_2} + \Delta_2)$ it is clear that R_Δ is p_1-exceptional. Since $\Delta_{Y,1}$ contains every p_1-exceptional prime divisors with coefficient one, the divisor R_Δ is zero. ☐

3. Proofs of the main results

3.1. Proposition. *Let X be a normal variety, and let $f \colon X \to X$ be an endomorphism of degree $\deg(f) > 1$. Let Δ be a reduced effective totally invariant Weil divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.*

Let Z be an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ that is totally invariant. Then $Z \not\subset R_\Delta$ where R_Δ is the logarithmic branch divisor.

3.2. Remark. If $\Delta = 0$ and X is a surface this follows from a theorem of Wahl [Wah90], cf. also Favre [Fav10]. More generally if $\Delta = 0$ and X has at most isolated singularities, we can apply [BdFF12, Thm.B] or [Ful11, Cor.]. Our strategy is inspired by Nakayama's proof of the surface case [Nak08, Lemma 2.7.9].

Proof. Let $\mu\colon (Y, \Delta_Y) \to (X, \Delta)$ be the log-canonical model of (X, Δ). By Remark 2.3 we have

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta_Y^{>1}, \tag{6}$$

where $\Delta_Y^{>1}$ is an antieffective divisor such that $\operatorname{supp} \Delta_Y^{>1} = \operatorname{Exc}(\mu)$. Since Z is an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ there exists at least one prime divisor E_1 in Y that surjects onto Z. Denote by $E_1, \ldots, E_k$ the irreducible components of $\operatorname{supp}(\Delta_Y^{>1})$ that surject onto Z. Then we can write

$$\Delta_Y^{>1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i E_i + E', \tag{7}$$

where the a_i are the log-discrepancies with respect to (X, Δ). Since Z is an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ the antieffective divisor E' has the property $Z \not\subset \mu(\operatorname{supp}(E'))$.

We will argue by contradiction and suppose that $Z \subset R_\Delta$.

Step 1. An estimate of the discrepancies. Let

$$K_X + \Delta = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + R_\Delta$$

be the logarithmic ramification formula. By Remark 2.6 the divisor R_Δ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and we denote by m its Cartier index. Thus the pull-back $\mu^* R_\Delta$ is well-defined and since $Z \subset R_\Delta$ we have

$$\operatorname*{mult}_{E_i}(\mu^* R_\Delta) \geq \frac{1}{m}$$

for every $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Note moreover that for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ the logarithmic ramification divisor $R_{\Delta,l}$ of the l-th iterate f^l satisfies

$$R_{\Delta,l} = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (f^j)^*(R_\Delta).$$

Since $Z \subset R_\Delta$ and $f^{-1}(Z) = Z$ we see that $Z \subset (f^j)^*(R_\Delta)$, hence

$$\operatorname*{mult}_{E_i}(\mu^*(f^j)^* R_\Delta) \geq \frac{1}{m}$$

for all i and j. Thus for l sufficiently high we have $\operatorname{mult}_{E_i}(\mu^* R_{\Delta,l}) + a_i \geq 0$. Since our statement does not depend on the iterate of f we can suppose without loss of generality that these inequalities holds for $l = 1$. Thus we have

$$\operatorname*{mult}_{E_i}(\mu^* R_\Delta) + a_i \geq 0 \tag{8}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Step 2. Comparing the discrepancies. The endomorphism f induces a rational map $Y \dashrightarrow Y$, we choose a resolution of the indeterminacies of $\nu\colon V \to Y$ such that V is smooth. Then we obtain a generically finite, projective, surjective morphism $g\colon V \to Y$ such that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r, "\nu"] \arrow[dr, "g"'] & Y \arrow[r, "\mu"] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
& Y \arrow[r, "\mu"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

Using the notation of Lemma 2.7 we have

$$K_V + \Delta_V = g^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + R_g. \tag{9}$$

Note that by the definition of Δ_V we have $\Delta_Y = g(\Delta_V)$.

The pair (Y, Δ_Y) is log-canonical, so we can write

$$K_V = \nu^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + N'$$

where N' is a divisor such that all coefficients are at least -1. Thus if we set $N := N' + \Delta_V$, then

$$K_V + \Delta_V = \nu^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + N \tag{10}$$

and for every irreducible component $D \subset \Delta_V$ we have

$$\operatorname*{mult}_D N \geq 0. \tag{11}$$

By (9) and (10) we have

$$\nu^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + N = g^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + R_g.$$

Plugging in (6) on both sides we get

$$\nu^*(\mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta_Y^{>1}) + N = g^*(\mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta_Y^{>1}) + R_g$$

By the logarithmic ramification formula $K_X + \Delta = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + R_\Delta$ we can simplify to

$$\nu^*(\mu^* R_\Delta + \Delta_Y^{>1}) + N = g^* \Delta_Y^{>1} + R_g \tag{12}$$

Since $g(\Delta_V) = \Delta_Y$ and $\operatorname{supp} \Delta_Y^{>1} \subset \Delta_Y$ (cf. Remark 2.3) there exists a prime divisor $D \subset \Delta_V$ such that $g(D) = E_1$. Let us first observe that

$$\mu(\nu(D)) = Z. \tag{13}$$

Indeed by our commutative diagram

$$f(\mu(\nu(D))) = \mu(g(D)) = \mu(E_1) = Z,$$

hence $\mu(\nu(D))$ is contained in $f^{-1}(Z)$ which by hypothesis is Z. Since Z is irreducible and $\mu(\nu(D))$ has dimension at least $\dim Z$ (it surjects via f on Z), we get the equality (13).

By Lemma 2.7 we know that Δ_V and R_g do not have common components, so $\operatorname{mult}_D R_g = 0$. Since $\Delta_Y^{>1}$ is antieffective and its support contains E_1, we obtain

$$\operatorname*{mult}_D(g^* \Delta_Y^{>1} + R_g) < 0. \tag{14}$$

Consider now the decomposition $\Delta_Y^{>1} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i E_i + E'$ introduced in (7). We have $Z \not\subset \mu(\operatorname{supp}(E'))$ and $\mu(\nu(D)) = Z$ by (13), so we see that $\nu(D) \not\subset \operatorname{supp}(E')$. Since $\mu^* R_\Delta + \Delta_Y^{>1}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier this implies that $\mu^* R_\Delta + \sum a_i E_i$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier in the generic point of $\nu(D)$. By the inequalities (8) we know that

$$\mu^* R_\Delta + \sum a_i E_i$$

is an effective divisor, so we obtain

$$\operatorname*{mult}_D \nu^*(\mu^* R_\Delta + \Delta_Y^{>1}) = \operatorname*{mult}_{\nu(D)_{\mathrm{gen}}} (\mu^* R_\Delta + \sum a_i E_i) \geq 0.$$

Yet by (11) this implies that

$$\operatorname*{mult}_D(\nu^*(\mu^* R_\Delta + \Delta_Y^{>1}) + N) \geq 0,$$

so by (12) we have a contradiction to (14). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Lemma 2.10 we can suppose (up to replacing f by some iterate) that all the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ are totally invariant. Let Z be such an irreducible component, then by Proposition 3.1 we have $Z \not\subset R_\Delta$, where R_Δ is the logarithmic branch divisor. We will now argue by contradiction and suppose that there exists an irreducible component $Z \subset \mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ such that the induced endomorphism $f|_Z \colon Z \to Z$ satisfies

$$\deg(f|_Z) < \deg(f). \tag{15}$$

Let $(\tilde{X}, Z_{\mathrm{gen}})$ be the germ of the normal variety X in the generic point $Z_{\mathrm{gen}} \subset X$, and denote by

$$\tilde{f} \colon (\tilde{X}, Z_{\mathrm{gen}}) \to (\tilde{X}, Z_{\mathrm{gen}})$$

the induced endomorphism. Set $\tilde{\Delta} := \Delta|_{\tilde{X}}$, then the finite morphism $\tilde{f}$ étale in codimension one, i.e. we have

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta} = (\tilde{f})^*(K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta}). \tag{16}$$

Let $\tilde{\mu} \colon (\tilde{Y}, \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}) \to (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta})$ be the log-canonical model. By Lemma 2.11 the finite morphism $\tilde{f}$ lifts to a finite morphism $g \colon \tilde{Y} \to \tilde{Y}$ such that

$$K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}} = g^*(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}) \tag{17}$$

and $\mu \circ g = \tilde{f} \circ \mu$.

Since Z_{gen} is an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Nlc}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta})$ and the μ-exceptional locus has pure codimension one (cf. Remark 2.3), there exists at least one prime divisor E_1 in $\tilde{Y}$ that surjects onto Z_{gen}. Let $E_1, \ldots, E_k$ be the prime divisors in $\mu^{-1}(Z_{\mathrm{gen}})$ that surject onto Z_{gen}, then g^{-1} acts by permutation on the set of divisors $\{E_1, \ldots, E_k\}$. Thus (up to replacing $\tilde{f}$ and hence g by some iterate) we can assume that g^{-1} acts as the identity. Let now

$$g|_{E_1} \colon E_1 \to E_1$$

be the induced endomorphism. We claim that we have

$$\deg(g|_{E_1}) = \deg(f|_Z).$$

Assuming this for the time being, let us see how to conclude: since $\deg(f) = \deg(g)$ our claim and (15) implies that $\deg(g|_{E_1}) < \deg(g)$. Thus E_1 is contained in the branch divisor of g and we have

$$g^* E_1 = r E_1 \tag{18}$$

with $r > 1$. By Remark 2.3 we have

$$K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}} = \mu^*(K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta}) + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 1},$$

where $\Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 1}$ is an antieffective divisor such that $\operatorname{supp} \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 1} = \mathrm{Exc}(\mu)$. Plugging this into (17) we obtain

$$\mu^*(K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta}) + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 1} = g^* \mu^*(K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta}) + g^* \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 1}.$$

Yet by (16) this simplifies to

$$\Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 1} = g^* \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 1}.$$

Since $\operatorname{supp} \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 1} = \mathrm{Exc}(\mu)$ it contains the divisor E_1. Thus by restricting the equation above to E_1 we obtain $g^* E_1 = E_1$, a contradiction to (18).

Proof of the claim. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_1 \arrow[r, "g|_{E_1}"] \arrow[d, "\mu|_{E_1}"'] & E_1 \arrow[d, "\mu|_{E_1}"] \\
Z_{\mathrm{gen}} \arrow[r, "f|_{Z_{\mathrm{gen}}}"] & Z_{\mathrm{gen}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let F_1 be a general fibre of $\mu|_{E_1}$ and set $F_2 := g|_{E_1}(F_1)$. Then F_2 is a general $\mu|_{E_1}$-fibre, in particular F_1 and F_2 are homologous. Set $\tilde{g}\colon F_1 \to F_2$. By (17) we have

$$(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}})^{\dim F_1} \cdot F_1 = (g^*(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}))^{\dim F_1} \cdot F_1 = \deg(\tilde{g})(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}})^{\dim F_1} \cdot F_2.$$

Since F_1 and F_2 are homologous we have

$$(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}})^{\dim F_1} \cdot F_2 = (K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}})^{\dim F_1} \cdot F_1.$$

Moreover $K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}$ is ample on F_1, so these intersection numbers are not zero. Thus we obtain that

$$\deg \tilde{g} = 1.$$

By the commutative diagram above this implies the claim. $\square$

3.3. Corollary. *Let X be a normal projective variety, and let $f\colon X \to X$ be a polarised endomorphism of degree $\deg(f) > 1$. Let Δ be a reduced effective totally invariant Weil divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.*

Then the pair (X, Δ) is log-canonical. Moreover if Z is an lc centre of (X, Δ), then (up to replacing f by some iterate) Z is totally invariant. In this case we have $Z \not\subset R_\Delta$ where R_Δ is the logarithmic ramification divisor.

Note that the case $\Delta = 0$ of this statement corresponds to Corollary 1.3.

Proof. The endomorphism f is polarised, so there exists an ample divisor H such that $f^*H \simeq mH$ with $m > 1$. Thus if $Z \subset X$ is a totally invariant subvariety, the endomorphism $f|_Z\colon Z \to Z$ is polarised by $H|_Z$. In particular we have

$$\deg(f|_Z) = m^{\dim Z} < m^{\dim X} = \deg(f).$$

By Theorem 1.4 this implies that $\mathrm{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ is empty. The second part of the statement follows from Lemma 2.10. $\square$

For inductive purposes the following non-normal version should be useful.

3.4. Corollary. *Let X be a projective variety that is S_2 and whose codimension one points are either regular points or ordinary nodes*[6]. *Let $f\colon X \to X$ be a polarised endomorphism of degree $\deg(f) > 1$. Let Δ be a reduced effective totally invariant Weil divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and no irreducible component of Δ is contained in the non-normal locus.*

Then the pair (X, Δ) is semi-log-canonical.

---

[6] X is demi-normal in the sense of Kollár.

Proof. Let $\nu\colon \tilde{X} \to X$ be the normalisation. Let $D \subset X$ be the divisor defined by the conductor of the normalisation, and let $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the divisorial part of $\nu^{-1}(\Delta)$. Then we have

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta} + D = \nu^*(K_X + \Delta),$$

so $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta} + D$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Note that D is reduced since X has ordinary nodes in codimension one.

By the universal property of the normalisation, the endomorphism f lifts to an endomorphism $\tilde{f}\colon \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$. Moreover the divisor D is totally invariant (cf. Prop.5.4. in the arXiv version of [NZ10]). By Corollary 3.3 the pair $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta} + D)$ is log-canonical. Thus (X, Δ) is semi-log-canonical. $\square$

References

[AKP08] Marian Aprodu, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Galois coverings and endomorphisms of projective varieties. *Math. Z.*, 260(2):431–449, 2008.

[Ame03] Ekaterina Amerik. On endomorphisms of projective bundles. *Manuscripta Math.*, 111(1):17–28, 2003.

[BdFF12] Sebastien Boucksom, Tommaso de Fernex, and Charles Favre. The volume of an isolated singularity. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 161:1455–1520, 2012.

[Bea01] Arnaud Beauville. Endomorphisms of hypersurfaces and other manifolds. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (1):53–58, 2001.

[Fav10] Charles Favre. Holomorphic self-maps of singular rational surfaces. *Publ. Mat.*, 54(2):389–432, 2010.

[FN07] Yoshio Fujimoto and Noboru Nakayama. Endomorphisms of smooth projective 3-folds with nonnegative Kodaira dimension. II. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 47(1):79–114, 2007.

[Fuj02] Yoshio Fujimoto. Endomorphisms of smooth projective 3-folds with non-negative Kodaira dimension. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 38(1):33–92, 2002.

[Fuj11] Osamu Fujino. Fundamental theorems for the log minimal model program. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 47(3):727–789, 2011.

[Ful11] Mihai Fulger. Local volumes on normal algebraic varieties. *arXiv preprint*, 1105.2981, 2011.

[Gra12] Patrick Graf. Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing on log canonical pairs. *arXiv preprint*, 1210.0421, 2012.

[Gro66] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (28):255, 1966.

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[HK10] Christopher D. Hacon and Sándor J. Kovács. *Classification of higher dimensional algebraic varieties*, volume 41 of *Oberwolfach Seminars.* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.

[KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti.

[Nak08] Noboru Nakayama. On complex normal projective surfaces admitting non-isomorphic surjective endomorphisms. *preprint*, 2008.

[NZ10] Noboru Nakayama and De-Qi Zhang. Polarized endomorphisms of complex normal varieties. *Math. Ann.*, 346(4):991–1018, 2010.

[OX12] Yuji Odaka and Chenyang Xu. Log-canonical models of singular pairs and its applications. *Math. Res. Lett*, 19(2):325–334, 2012.

[Wah90] Jonathan Wahl. A characteristic number for links of surface singularities. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(3):625–637, 1990.

[Zha10] De-Qi Zhang. Polarized endomorphisms of uniruled varieties. *Compos. Math.*, 146(1):145–168, 2010. With an appendix by Y. Fujimoto and N. Nakayama.

Amaël Broustet, Université Lille 1, UMR CNRS 8524, UFR de mathématiques, 59 655 Villeneuve d'Ascq CEDEX.

E-mail address: amael.broustet@math.univ-lille1.fr

Andreas Höring, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Institut de mathématiques de Jussieu, Projet Topologie et géométrie algébriques, Case 247, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

E-mail address: hoering@math.jussieu.fr